

35690 A

Memoir
on the
Duchy of Lancaster

Memor
on the
Duchy of Lancaster

The immense Possessions of the
Earls, and the last Duke of Lancaster,
who were descended in a direct line from
Edmund Crouchback, the younger Son of
Henry 3^d and the first Earl of Lancaster
of the House of Plantagenet, became vested
in John of Gaunt the 4th Son of Edward
the 3^d, by virtue of his marriage⁺ with
Blanch the younger of the two daughters &
Co-heiresses of Henry the last Male lineal
Descendant of Edmund Crouchback⁽¹⁾ (in
whose person this Earldom had been
erected into a Dukedom, with all the
Regalities and Privileges of a County Palatine)
and in consequence of the death, without
issue of her elder Sister Maude.

⁺ a. D. 1359

^o 26. Edw. 3.
a. D. 1352

a. D. 1362

John of Gaunt, in the 36th Edw. 3,
was declared in Parliament Duke of Lancaster,
with all the Regalities and Liberties of a
County Palatine.

It is to the Possessions and Estates
of the Duchy and the Administration of
them that this Memoir will be confined.

On

(1) This Duke Henry died of the Plague -
anno 35. Edw. 3.

On the death of John of Gaunt Duke of Lancaster all these Possessions came to his Son Henry, (afterwards King Henry the 4th) by Descent in right of his Mother.

Rolls of Parl.
3. Vol. p. 428.

One of the very first measures of his reign was to procure an Act of Parliament by which his eldest Son Henry (afterwards King Henry the 5th) should have and bear the name of Duke of Lancaster — and that all such liberties and franchises as had been before granted as well to his Father, as to others of his ancestors Dukes and Earls of Lancaster should be and remain to his said eldest Son and his Heirs Dukes of Lancaster dissevered from the Crown of England wholly and entirely according to the effect and purport of the aforesaid Grants ⁽²⁾ — And thereupon the King delivered a Charter thereof made in Parliament to his said Son.

Henry " well knew that he had
" the Duchy of Lancaster upon a good and
" undefeasible Title, and that his Title to
" the Crown was not so good, and therefore
" he had some Distrust that in time to
" come the Crown might be taken from
" him, or his Heirs, and was desirous
" nevertheless

"nevertheless that the Duchy should continue
 "to him and his heirs, and he knew at the
 "same time, that if the possessions of the
 "Duchy should be mixed with the possessions
 "of the Crown, and not be notoriously cut
 "and discovered from the Possessions of the
 "Crown, it might be the means of causing
 "the Duchy the sooner to be taken away
 "from him or his heirs, or that at least
 "he or his heirs would receive no good
 "by their being mixed with the possessions
 "of the Crown; if the Crown should be taken
 "from him or his heirs".

See Plowden's
 Commentaries
 1. Vol. p. 212. et seq.
 "Case of the Duchy
 "of Lancaster"

Such are the reasons and motives
 which all the Judges and Counsellors assembled
 at Serjeants Inn Fleet Street by the Command
 of Queen Elizabeth in Michaelmas Term in
 the 4th year of her reign (to confer together
 in order to understand the Law in a certain
 Case depending in the Duchy Court between
 the Queen on the one part, and divers of
 her subjects severally on the other part,
 wherein the Queen required their Resolution
 upon the matter in Law), imputed to
 Henry the Fourth for "the politic scheme"
 devised by him and carried into effect by
 the said Act of Parliament and the Charter
 at

(3) Nos volentes dictam hereditatem nostram, cum libertatibus ejusdem, occasione instantis assumptionis Regule Status, et dignitatis nostre, in aliquo mutari, transferri, diminui, seu deponari.

(4) Sed eandem hereditatem nostram cum iuribus et libertatibus suis predictis eodem modo et forma, conditione et statu, quibus nobis descenderunt, et reverentur - nobis et dictis heredibus nostris in dictis chartis specificatis plenarie et integre conservare, contineri, et habere volumus.

(5) Quod tamen Ducatus noster Lancastrie, quam nos et dictis heredibus nostris in chartis predictis specificatis in forma predicta remaneant in perpetuum.

at the same time granted in and by the Authority of the same Parliament and then delivered to his son Henry - This Act and Charter are the Foundation of the peculiar Constitution, & the separate and exclusive system of Administration by which the Possessions and Estates of the Duchy of Lancaster are at this day governed.

By this Charter which was entitled "Carta Regis Henrici quarti de Separatione Ducatus Lancastrie a Corona" "Auctoritate Parliamenti Anno Regni sui primo" - it was ordained, that the Duchy of Lancaster, and the possessions and Liberties thereof "should not in any thing" "be changed, transferred, diminished or" "derogated from by reason of his assumption" "of the Royal State and Dignity" ⁽³⁾ - But that the same, with all their Rights and Liberties "should fully and entirely be" "preserved, continued and had in the same" "mode, form, condition, and estate in" "which they descended and came to him" ⁽⁴⁾ - And that the said Duchy of Lancaster &c "should remain to him and his heirs (as" "specified in the Charters thereinbefore" "recited) in the form aforesaid for ever" ⁽⁵⁾ - And that they "should so, and in such" "manner"

(6) - Et quod taliter, et tali modo, et per tales officarios et Ministros in omnibus remaneant, deducantur, gubernentur, et pertractentur, sicut remanere, deduci, gubernari, et pertractari debent, si ad. Culmen dignitatis Regie assumpti minimo fuissent.

(7) The Case referred by Juan Elizabetta, for the consideration and Decision of the Judges was this - King Hen: 8.th being seized of certain Lands in Right of his Duchy of Lancaster made a Lease to W.C. by the advice of the Council of the said Duchy, and under the Duchy Seal for 21 years, and died after whose death King Edward 6.th by like Advice made a Lease to A.W. of the same Lands for 21 years to commence immediately after the expiration of the first Term the said King Edward being then within the age of 21 years.

The Judges held that "the prerogative which the Common Law gives to the person of the King to be always of full age, and never within age, is as firmly annexed to him with regard to the Duchy Lands" - and in conformity with this principle they decided that the Lease made by Edward 6.th was not voidable by reason of his Nonage.

Powder's Com-
-mentaries
1 Vol. p. 217-

"manner, and by such Officers and Ministers
 "in all things remain, be ordered, governed
 "and demeaned, as they ought to have
 "been, if he (Hen: 4.th) had never attained
 "the Royal Dignity."⁽⁶⁾

The Judges decided that by these words the Duchy is a Duchy, with the like Liberties, & Franchises as it was before, separated, divided and severed from the Crown, and from the Ministers and Officers of the Crown, and from the Receipt of the Revenues of the Crown, and from such Order of Conveyance as the Law requires in the passing of the Possessions of the Crown. — But nevertheless they held that the Charter extends only to the Estate, Condition and Order of the Lands of the Duchy, but does not reach to the person of the King who has the lands, in points touching his person, nor does it diminish or alter the pre-eminences which the Law gives or attributes to the person of the King, but the same continue as well with regard to the Possessions of the Duchy of Lancaster, as the Lands which come to him from other Ancestors.⁽⁷⁾

Wherefore it seemed to them that the whole intent of Hen: 4.th and of this Charter

(8) My Lord Coke says - Hen. 4.th by this Charter severed the Possessions of the Duchy from the Crown - and that which John of Gaunt held for Life is established forever. - And this Separation Hen. 4.th made for that he knew he had the Duchy of Lancaster (per amittis regis) by sure and indefeasible Title - and he could not be both Rex & Duc: - but specially that his Title to the Crown was not so assured, for that after the decease of R. 2. the right of the Crown was in the Heir of Lionel Duke of Clarence 2.^d Son of E. 3.^d - John of Gaunt Father of Hen. 4. being the 4.th Son; and therefore he intended not, that by the Law of the Crown the Duchy sh^d. go with the Crown, and that he should be seized thereof in Right of the Crown, as the King afterwards was of the Possessions of the Duchy of York, Earldom of March and others -

4.th Inst. p. 205.

(9) Hen. 5., in right of his mother, Mary one of the two Daughters & Co-heiresses of Humphrey de Bohun Earl of Hereford, Essex & Northampton, inherited large Estates which were the possessions of that Earl. - He adopted the same Line of Policy with regard to them, as his Father Hen. 4. had done with regard to the Possessions of the Duchy of Lancaster. - By this Charter in Parliament in the 2.^d year of his Reign all his Possessions which he inherited from his Mother, were dissevered from the Crown of England, and annexed to the Duchy of Lancaster, and to be of the same nature. - Until this Act of Severance, they were vested in him jure coronae.

Id. Id.

Charter and Act, was only to sever the
Lands, Possessions, Privileges, Jurisdictions,
 & Hereditaments of the Duchy, from the
 Hereditaments of the Crown, viz^t, in Survey,
Order, Government and Process, but not
in person, so long as by the grace of God,
 the Crown and the Duchy should continue
 and abide together in the Blood of the
 Duke of Lancaster, and of the said Blanch
 Mother of the said Henry 4th; and that if
 the Crown should afterwards by any means
 be taken out of the Blood of the Duke
 of Lancaster, that yet the said Duchy
 should continue in the Blood of the
 said Duke - So that the Intent of the
 Charter may be satisfied without derogating
 from the person of the King, or destroying
 the Dignity or Pre-eminence which the
 Law attributes to it. ¹⁸¹

Henry the 5th by Charter granted
 in and by the Authority of Parliament
 in the 2^d year of his reign confirmed the
 said Charter of his Father in all respects ¹⁹¹

In the next year (the 3^d of the
 reign of Henry 5th) an Act of Parliament
 was passed, by which the Charter of the
 preceding year was confirmed, and it was
 enacted

enacted That no Grant &c. which might
 concern any of the Possessions of the Duchy
 of Lancaster, or the Officers and Ministers of
 the said Duchy, "should for the future be
 "made under any other Seal of Him his Heirs
 "or Assigns great or small, obtained or to be
 "obtained but only under His Seal for the
 "Duchy aforesaid - and if any should
 "happen in any wise to come under any
 "other Seal for the future, they should not
 "be valid or effectual by any means but
 "should be judged and deemed vain null
 "and void for ever -

Upon this Act the Judges observed,
 that by "making all Charters of any of
 "the possessions of the Duchy sealed with
 "any other than the Duchy Seal to be void,
 "it declares the Intent of the said King Henry
 "to be that the said Duchy and all the
 "possessions thereof should be distinct,
 "separate, and used, from the Possessions of
 "the Crown" - and they consider that this
 Act of Henry 5.th was done "with the same
 "politic design", as that by which his
 Father Hen. 4.th was influenced in separating
 the Duchy from the Crown, immediately on
 his accession to the latter -

a. D. 1461.

By the Act of Abolition of Henry 6.th
 passed in the 1.st year of Edward 4.th the original
 Possessions

1837

Possessions of the Duchy of Lancaster, and
 all the Annexations to it by Henry the 5th and
 since his time, were declared to be forfeited to
 Edward 4th - and to "be from the 3rd day of
 " March then last to the said Duchy of Lancaster
 " corporate, and be called the Duchy of Lancaster"
 and that King Edward the 4th should "have, seize,
 " take, hold, enjoy and inherit" all the said
 possessions "by the same Name of Duchy from
 " all other his Inheritances separate to him &
 " his Heirs Kings of England for ever - , and that
 " the County of Lancaster be a County Palatine"

It was further enacted that there
 sho^d be a Seal, Chancellor, Judges & Officers of
 the County Palatine, and "over that another
 " Seal, called "the Seal of the Duchy of Lancaster",
 "and a Chancellor for the keeping thereof, Officers
 " Counsellors for the guiding and governance
 " of the same Duchy, and of the particular Officers
 " Ministers Tenants and Inhabitants thereof,
 " in as great ample and large Form as Henry
 " calling himself Henry 5th at any time therein
 " had used and enjoyed lawfully".

And, that, all Freedoms Liberties Franchises
 Privileges Customs and Jurisdictions, should
 be had used exercised and enjoyed by the
 said Officers Ministers Tenants & Inhabitants
 of the said Duchy as in the time of Hen: 5th.

With respect to this Act the Judges
 observed that as, on the one hand, the House
 of

of Lancaster doubting their Title to the Crown, intended to preserve their Inheritance of the Duchy of Lancaster, in which they had no manner of doubt whilst it was disjoined from the Crown, so, on the other hand King Edward 4.th knowing his Title to the Crown to be undoubted, intended to extirpate the House of Lancaster, and to unite to the Crown their Inheritance, in which he had no Title but in right of the Crown, viz. for Treason committed against it, and to vest the Duchy, and all the possessions thereof in the Body Politic of the King. So that the said Inheritance of the Duchy was thereby placed & settled only in the Body Politic of the King; — and the County Palatine of Lancaster, and the Court of the Duchy, and the Liberties and Franchises thereof would have been utterly depolled and extinguished in the Crown, if there had been no other provision made in this Act.

But King Edward 4.th being nevertheless desirous that the Duchy and all that belonged to it, should continue as it was before, as to the Order and Direction of it, proceeded to establish and confirm, by the same Statute, the County Palatine from the 3.^d day of March preceding, and that he should have the possessions thereof by the name of the Duchy, from the 4.th day —

of March, to him and his heirs Kings of England for ever - Yet the Statute provides how he should have them, - namely, "from "all other his Inheritances separate" - And how separate? - Not separate from the person of the King, as King, but separate, ^{only} from the Order and Mode of Administration of the other possessions of the King, so as that they should pass by another Seal than the possessions of the Crown, were used to do, and by other Means than Possessions of the Crown should pass by - and by other Officers than the officers of the Possessions of the Crown, and by all such other Rules and Methods as were in use in the said Duchy before the passing of the said Statute.

This Statute therefore ordained three Things - First, - It re-established the County Palatine of Lancaster - Secondly, - it created it in the Body Politic of the King of this Realm, and his Heirs - and, Thirdly, it separated the possessions of the Duchy from the order and course of administration of the other Possessions of the Crown.

In this Form the Duchy continued until the Time of King Henry the 7th -

It may be proper, however, here to mention, that by an Act of Parliament passed

passed in the 14th year of King Edward the
 4th - the Honor, Castle, Lordship, and Manor
 of Tutbury with certain other of the possessions
 of the Duchy were vested in the Archbishop
 of Canterbury and others in trust for the uses
 of the King's will -

A. D. 1485 No sooner had King Henry 7th acquired
 the Crown than he procured an Act of
 Parliament to be passed by which the said
 Statute of the 14th Edward 4th was repealed,
 and it was further enacted that He (H. 7th)
 should "have hold enjoy and possess from
 " the 21st Day of August [1485] to him and
 " his heirs for ever all the Honors, Castles,
 " Lordships, Manors, Lands, Tenements, Rents,
 " Reversions, Services, Possessions, and other
 " Hereditaments with their Appurtenances,
 " in the said Act contained, and the County
 " Palatine of Lancaster, and all Honour Castles
 " &c. possessions & other Hereditaments with
 " their Appurtenances that were parcel of
 " the said Duchy of Lancaster in the hands
 " or possession of the said Edward late King
 " of England on the 4th day of March in the
 " first year of his Reigne, or at any time after,
 " or in the hands or possession of Richard 3rd.
 " late in Deed, and not in right, King of
 " England any time during his Reigne
 " with all Liberties, Freedoms, Franchises, and
 " other Things, as well to the said County Palatine
 " as

(10) It is curious that in this Public Act should be asserted that which is not true - Hen: 4. - Hen: 5 & Hen: 6 are here mis-called. King Henry the 7th noble "progenitor" - There can be little doubt that this was an intentional Deception practised upon the people of England for the purpose of concealing from them, who were then little versed in the History of their Country the Defect in his Title to the Crown, - to which he had no original right whatever, but that of Conquest; - His Paternal Descent was derived from Owen Tudor a Welsh private Country Gentleman, his Grandfather (who had raised himself to distinction by his Marriage with the Dowager Queen of England. Catherine of France the Widow of Hen: 5th) - and his Maternal Descent (in right of which he affected to claim the Crown) was derived in the Third degree from John Beaufort Earl of Somerset the illegitimate Son of John of Gaunt by Katherine Swinford: - The Act of Legitimation of their Issue (10 Ric: 2^d) containing an express exception of any right to the Crown (excepta dignitate regali) - This Defect was however cured - in the person of his Son Hen: 8th the legitimate Heir through his Mother of the House of York.

(11) The Act thus begins - "Inasmuch as the King and Queen our Sovereign Lord and Lady considering and regarding the Estate of the Duchy of Lancaster, being one of the most famous Princeliest and stateliest pieces

" as to any other the premises appertaining or
 " belonging; and to be governed by like Officers,
 " and use like Seals, as for Time past had been
 " used & accustomed, in as ample and large
 " Manner, and in like manner Form and
 " Condition separate from the Crown of
 " England, and the Possessions of the same,
 " as Hen: 4.th, Hen 5.th & Henry 6.th the King's
 " Noble progenitors ⁽¹⁰⁾, late Kings of the Realm,
 " or the said Edward late King had & held,
 " or any of the said Kings had and held,
 " any Act or Statute then before made in
 " any wise notwithstanding. —

After repeated Arguments of Counsel
 it was finally decided, that, by force and
 virtue of this last Act of Parliament, King
 Henry 7.th had the Duchy in his Body natural
 as King Henry the 5.th had it, disjoined from
the Crown, and not as King Edward 4.th had
 it.

Cap. 20

By an Act of 2 & 3 Philip and Mary,
 after reciting that the Possessions and yearly
 Revenues of the said Duchy were greatly
 diminished by reason of sundry Gifts Grants
 and Sales made by Hen: 8. and Edw: 6. and
 by sundry Exchanges of Possessions belonging
 to the Duchy for other Lands which had
 not been annexed to the Duchy, but been
 in the order Survey and Governances of
 other Courts and Places ⁽¹¹⁾ — It was enacted —
 That

" of Our said Sovereign Lady the Queen's antient
 " Inheritance do perceive and consider that the
 " Possessions & yearly Revenues" &c &c - " And
 " forasmuch also as their Majesties do mind
 " to intend to preserve, advance, maintain
 " and continue the ancient & honorable
 " Estate of the said Duchy" —

Sect.

Sect.

That all the possessions of the Duchy which since the 27.th January in the 1.st year of King Edw. 6.th had been granted away or exchanged should be re-united & re-annexed for ever to the Duchy, for and as parcels & members thereof and should be of the same nature, quality, kind and condition as the other ancient possessions of the Duchy - and should be "in the letting, setting, Order, Rule, Survey, Receipt and Governace of the Chancellor, Council and Officers of the said Duchy of Lancaster for the time being for evermore, in like and the same manner and form to all intents and purposes as other the said ancient Possessions of the Duchy". - and that all Grants of them annexed Possession should be made and pass under the Duchy Seal.

Sect. 4

The King and Queen and the Heirs and Successors of Her Majesty were empowered by Letters Patent under the Great Seal of England to annex other Estates in England "unto their said Duchy of Lancaster, for the further Augmentation Honor and Estate" thereof - but so that such Estates should not exceed "in the whole the yearly Value of Two Thousand Pounds".

Sect. 8

That power, it appears, was afterwards exercised by that King and Queen, by Letters Patent.

The

The Duchy of Lancaster, thus settled and established, as a Possession for ever severed from the Crown by the above mentioned Statutes of Hen: 5. and Hen: 7th and thus augmented by Philip and Mary has from that time been held and enjoyed by all succeeding Sovereigns as a distinct and separate Estate. No Act of Parliament has ever since been passed to repeal, or in any manner to alter, controll, or affect that Settlement, which, on the contrary, has been recognized by several Acts of Parliament.

The Duchy of Lancaster, therefore, and all its Possessions still remain severed from the Crown, and are at this day held and governed according to the Tenor of those Settlements in its own peculiar order and course of Administration as an Estate separate and distinct from all the other Possessions of the Crown.

It was the obvious intention and Policy of the two first Sovereigns of the House of Lancaster Hen: 4 & Hen: 5. that their Duchy Possessions should be considered, as totally and for ever severed from the Crown Estates - and be enjoyed as a separate and distinct possession, under the governance of its own Officers & Ministers - and so anxious were they to preserve distinct from the Crown this their undoubted Patrimony, and so jealous of any encroachment or innovation upon

(12)-9 Quod omnes et singuli Receptores Lit.
coram certis specialibus Auditoribus Reg.^{is} de-
tempore in tempus ad hoc limitand^{us} et
assignand^{us}, dumtaxat et non coram
Thes^{is} et Baronibus de Scaccario Regis
computent et respondeant continue in
futuro - Ita quod Thes^{is} et Baronibus
de Scaccario predicto de aliquibus hujus-
modi Denariis Reg.^{is} - inde audiend^{is},
habend^{is} reddend^{is} vel terminand^{is}, in
futuro, se non intromittant, ullo modo

Rolls of Parl.^{is}
4-Vol. p. 47. a

upon its Independance that in the Parliamen-
 tary Charters above cited it was ordained
 that all Receivers &c of the Revenues of the
 said Duchy should account only before
special Auditors &c. to be appointed by
 them their Heirs and Successors from time
 to time and not before the Lord High
Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer - who
 are thereby in express terms prohibited from
 interfering therein. ⁽¹²⁾ And the Act of the
 3. Hen. 5. (as we have before seen) proceeds
 so far as to declare that every Grant of
 the Possessions of the Duchy under any other
 Seal great or small than the Duchy
Seal, shall be absolutely null and void.

Another decisive proof of the extreme
 jealousy of the early Sovereigns with regard
 to any foreign Interference in the concerns
 of their Duchy Possessions, and that they
 were considered by them as their own
personal and private Estate may be found
 in the ancient forms of the Oaths of Office
 taken by the Officers of the Duchy and which
 are in use at the present day - They
 swear to keep secret all Things that shall
 be communed in the Council of the Duchy,
 and not to disclose them to any but
the King himself, or to others of the Council.

The

10000

[Faint, illegible handwriting in cursive script, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

The Possessions of the Duchy have been for several Centuries and at this day are managed under the immediate Direction of The King Himself. The King's Warrants are countersigned by His Chancellor of his Duchy, without the Interference, or even the Knowledge of any officer of the Crown. — It has ever been considered that the Duchy Rights would not be bound by The King's general Assent to an Act of Parliament, as King.

From the Manifest Tenor of these Acts of Parliament (for such they are, being Charters granted in and by the Authority of Parliament) — and the uniform Order and Course of Administration of the Possessions of the Duchy under them continued without variation down to the present day, nothing can be more clear than that those Possessions are the personal and private Estate of The King severed and separated for ever from the other possessions of the Crown.

Committees of the House of Commons have in modern times acquiesced in this view of the subject — The Chancellor of the Duchy has declined upon more occasions than one to make Returns required by Parliament upon the Ground that the Duchy was the private Estate of His Majesty — and that Ground of refusal

35707 A

has been acquiesced in. - Indeed it is evident
that it might be inconsistent with his Office
of Office for the Chancellor of the Duchy to make
such Returns -

10738