

M^r Greenville May 7. 1765

7 May 1765

67

7 May 1765

I have the Honor to inform your Majesty
that the House of Commons continued sitting
till near ten o'clock this evening. The
Regency Bill was read the first Time yesterday
& ordered to be read a second Time today,
but nothing at all was said on that occasion.
Today about two o'clock L^d John Cavendish
came up to me in the House, & told me that
He intended to move for an Address to be
presented to your Majesty desiring that
you would be pleas'd to name a Person
to be propos'd to the House for Regent &
that His Motion would be to the same
Effect &

Effect & almost in the same Words with that
mouv'd by L^d Lyttelton in the House of Peers.
He made the Motion for the Address accordingly
just before the Second Reading of the Regency
Bill in a very few Words & was seconded by Mr
F. Townshend Jun^r. I enclose with this I transmit
to your Majesty an Account of the several Speeches
in this Debate & in the subsequent one in the Order
in which they spoke. It did not seem that the
Proposition for the Address made great Impression
upon the House, especially after the Answers
which were given to it. There was nothing very
particular in the Debate except that several of
the Gentlemen who were for the Address having
declared against the Principle of the Regency Bill
They were told that it would be highly improper to
present an Address to your Majesty to name a Regent
if They

M^r. Greenville May 7th 1765

7 May 1765

67 (2)

if They intended to reject the Bill afterwards in which the Regent was to be so named. This Debate lasted till near 6 o Clock; the Question was then put upon that Motion, but was carried in the Negative without a Division. The Bill was then read a second Time, & the Question put for committing it: This was oppos'd upon all the general Arguments against any Regency Bill whatever, & against the particular Provisions & Clauses in the present Bill. Gen. Conway spoke very strongly against the latter, but declared that he would vote for committing the Bill. This Debate lasted till past 9 o Clock & upon the Question being then put it was carried for committing the Bill without any Division. After this M^r. Ouslow of Guildford, mov'd to put off the Committee to a longer Day than Thursday, which was the Day propos'd by me to go into the Committee upon it
agreatly to

agreeably to what had been done in the former
Regency Bill, & which was agreed to accordingly &
the Committee fixed for Thursday without any Division
Mr. h. Cooke then mov'd to print the Bill, but this
was objected to in point of Time & Regularity &
because there was no Occasion for it, the Instances
in which it differs from the last Regency Bill
being so very few. After some little Debate there
was a Division upon this Question: 18 for printing
it & 117 against it, the greater Part of the House
being gone away before the Motion was made.
in general the Debate was carried on pretty regularly
upon the two principal Questions, & seemingly very
much to the Satisfaction of the House, which was
the Reason, that they did not venture to divide upon
either of them, tho' they declared repeatedly that
they would oppose the Bill in every Stage of it & particularly
in the Committee. Upon the whole I flatter myself

That Every Thing has gone on in a Manner which
will be agreeable to your Majesty, tho' as it is now
past 11 o'clock, I must in Obedience to your Orders
for dispatching the Messenger with this Account
as soon as I can, reserve the farther Particulars
untill I have the Honor of waiting upon your
Majesty to morrow Morning.

Downing Street

Tuesday May 7. 1765

$\frac{12}{25}$ past 11. P.M.

[Faint, illegible handwriting on aged paper, possibly a letter or document fragment.]

